

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,566	01/31/2007	Alfons Reeb	LO38-001	8300
21567 7590 01/14/2011 Wells St. John P.S. 601 W. 1st Street #1300			EXAMINER	
			PARVEZ, AZM A	
Spokane, WA 99201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/14/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/588,566 REEB ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit AZM PARVEZ -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any

earned patent	term adjustment.	See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 January 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2 ☐ Potice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2 ☐ Potice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3 ☐ Potice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) 5 ☐ Potice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 ☐ Potice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 ☐ Potice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 ☐ Potice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 ☐ Potice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 ☐ Potice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 ☐ Potice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 ☐ Potice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 ☐ Potice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 ☐ Potice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 ☐ Potice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 ☐ Potice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 ☐ Potice of Draftsperson's Potice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 ☐ Potice of Draftsperson's Potice of Draf
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date Office of Informal Patent Application Office of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Office of Information D
18 Patint and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office Action Summary Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20110104

Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

Application/Control Number: 10/588.566

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-19, drawn to 'a method for increasing the fatigue life'.

Group II, claim(s) 20, drawn to 'an apparatus for carrying out the method as claimed in claims 1 to 19 by means of a pulsed- pressure machine or striking apparatuses'.

- 2. The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the common special technical feature in all groups is a striking apparatus. This element cannot be a special technical feature under PCT rule 13.2 because this element is shown in the prior art. Applicant's admitted prior art (DE 3438742 C2) teaches a striking apparatus (see Fig 1).
- 3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does

Application/Control Number: 10/588,566

Art Unit: 3729

not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof.

Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.

Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AZM PARVEZ whose telephone number is (571)270-1391. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:30 / Alt Fri day off.

Application/Control Number: 10/588,566

Art Unit: 3729

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DERRIS BANKS can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AZM PARVEZ Examiner Art Unit 3729

/Derris H Banks/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3729